UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/549,885	09/16/2005	Claudine Viegas Conrado	NL 030293	7551	
24737 7590 06/01/2010 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 PRIA DCLUTE MANOR NY 10510			EXAMINER		
			ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2431			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			06/01/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

13. Other: _

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/549,885	CONRADO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
KAVEH ABRISHAMKAR	2431		

	KAVEH ABRISHAMKAR	2431	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailinǫ b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two months	e of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		•	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	serresponding number of infanty reju	otou olamio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (I	PT∩L-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Amendment (i	10L-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	–		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-10 and 12-31. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.		I be entered and an e:	cplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	∍d.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The Applicant argues that the Saito and Micall reference Micall uses PKI. This argument is not found persuasive are both directed towards authenticating users with keys does not require help from a server or third party (see A) infrastructure which used a third party, this would not deall that is required is that there is a rational underpinning met as including reissuing SPKI certificates as thought in instead of generating a new certificate. Therefore, the a maintained as given below	s are not properly combined since Though PKI and SPKI represent of the Furthermore, though Micall requipplicant's Arguments: page 8, parastroy the system of Saito. Under K for the obviousness. This rational of Micall would reduce overhead pro-	Saito is directed toward different authentication res a Certificate author agraph 2), if Saito used SR International Co. Underpinning requires beessing by reissuing a	rds SPKI and n schemes, they ority, and Saito d an /. Teleflex Inc., ment is clearly a valid certificate
12 ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Application No.

/Kaveh Abrishamkar/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100527